

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,182	12/01/2003	Marina Azizova	70-098	2040
27106 7590 04/10/2007 MELVIN I. STOLTZ, ESQ. 51 CHERRY STREET			EXAMINER	
			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
MILFORD, CT 06460			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

10/725,182 Examiner JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D Dears on the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with the cover sheet	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D pears on the cover sheet with the output Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTHO ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	1615 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
pears on the cover sheet with the of the Series of the Cover sheet with the of the Series of the Ser	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION					
will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3/04					
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)∐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage				
4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Date				
	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI g date of this communication, even if timely file (3/04). Saction is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, proximate parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 and the communication. The experted or b) objected to by the endrawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is objected in a priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the standard of the communication). The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the standard of the certified copies not received to the certified to the certified copies not received to the certified to the certified copies not received to the certified to the certified to the certified copies not received to the certified to				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 are, drawn to hair styling and conditioning gel, classified in class 424, subclass 70.2.
- II. Claims 8-11 are, drawn to method for manufacturing hair styling and conditioning gel, classified in class 132, subclass 202.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as colorant solution by adding dyes.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

Art Unit: 1615

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend**

Application/Control Number: 10/725,182

Art Unit: 1615

from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1615

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-100

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615